

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/518,464

Applicant(s)
Feiler et al

Examiner
Kriellion Sanders

Art Unit
1714



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kriellion Sanders (3) _____
(2) David Creighton (4) _____

Date of Interview Aug 14, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:
None

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Left message to indicate that the rejection was o refer to page 42 and the compound at line 15 of EP 632102.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

KRIELLION SANDERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1714

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required